



INTRO

CHAPTER CONTENTS.

📅 5 Oct 2025

How can we improve rigour and even reproducibility when using AI in social science? This chapter suggests some answers.

PAGES IN THIS CHAPTER

📄 **Just add rigour Three do's and don'ts**

A lot of evaluation work is a kind of text analysis: processing reports, interview transcripts, etc. A bit like qualitative social science research. So this little piece is for evaluators in particular and (qualitative) social scientists in general.

📄 **Trust the algorithm, not the AI**

I often hear concerns about algorithms and AI, in everyday life as well as in evaluation, taking over our lives or making us submit to decisions made by machines.

📄 **What's your positionality, robot**

Nowadays, people are using AI for text analysis. Many of us worry about AI's "**hidden biases**". What to do about that?

📄 **You have to tell the AI what game we are playing right now**

It's strange how often this happens:

Scare quotes, the Turing test, and memory

I just found myself writing:

Put down that thesaurus – an open call to qualitative researchers

The Chinese Room, the Stochastic Parrot and the Anthill

Who said philosophy was a waste of time? When I was studying philosophy in the 80s, I was fascinated by [John Searle's Chinese Room Argument](#), and by Douglas Hofstadter's fantastic book "Gödel, Escher, Bach" which is, amongst other things, a refutation of it.